ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

Ryan P. Campbell, Esq. (#317838) Dave W. Rothenberg, Esq. (#326483) 345 Wyoming Avenue, Suite 210

Scranton, Pennsylvania 18503

Phone: 570.207.2889 | Fax: 570.207.3991

E-mail: Hrlaw04@gmail.com | Hrlaw06@gmail.com

Attorneys for the Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PHILIP GODLEWSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION—LAW (HON. JULIA K. MUNLEY)

EDUARDO NICOLAS ALVEAR
GONZALEZ, AKA ALVEAR GONZALEZ
EDUARDO NICOLAS, AKA
NICOLAS ALVEAR; and
GOOD LION TV, LLC,

Defendants

No.: 3:24—CV—00344—JKM

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

MOTION TO SCHEDULE ORAL ARGUMENT ON DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.9

AND NOW COME Defendants, Eduardo Nicolas Alvear Gonzalez, aka Alvear Gonzalez Eduardo Nicolas, aka Nicolas Alvear (collectively, "Individual Defendant") and Good Lion TV, LLC ("Corporate Defendant"), by and through their counsel, Rothenberg & Campbell, hereby move for this Honorable Court to schedule oral argument on their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (ECF Doc. No. 26) pursuant to Local Rule 7.9, averring as follows:

- 1. On April 25, 2024, Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint in the instant matter wherein he attaches several exhibits purportedly showing the volume of wrongful conduct on behalf of Defendants. (See ECF Doc. No. 22, generally).
- 2. On May 10, 2024, Defendants, through undersigned counsel, timely filed their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, in whole or in part, and, if granted in part, Motion to Dismiss Remaining Claims for Lack of Jurisdiction and a Brief in Support thereof (collectively, the "Motion to Dismiss"). (See ECF Doc. Nos. 26 and 27).
- 3. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss seeks dismissal of all or part of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint for failure to states claims upon which relief can be granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) based on the ostensibly wrongful publications of 110 statements by Defendants and purported causes of injury to Plaintiff. (See ECF Doc. No. 26-1).
- 4. Additionally, should this Honorable Court dismiss less than all claims contained in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Defendants seek dismissal of any remaining claims for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1). (See ECF Doc. No. 27).
- 5. On May 24, 2024, Plaintiff timely filed his Brief in Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Local Rule 7.6. (See ECF Doc. No. 28).
- 6. On May 30, 2024, Defendants timely filed their Brief in Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint pursuant to Local Rule 7.7. (See ECF Doc. No. 29).
- 7. Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.9 of the Middle District of Pennsylvania states:

Promptly upon the expiration of the time for filing of all briefs in support of or in opposition to a motion, the judge to whom the action has been assigned may order oral argument at such time and place as the judge shall direct, either in open court or in chambers. The judge, in bis or ber discretion, may grant oral argument sua sponte or at the request of either or both parties.

LR 7.9 (emphasis added).

- 8. Oral argument on a motion to dismiss is warranted when argument would "significantly aid the Court" in ruling on the motion, especially if the motion is complex and potentially dispositive of several or all a party's causes of action. See Jackson v. Rohm & Haas Co., 2008 WL 5170615 at *2 (E.D. Pa. 2008).
- 9. The time for filing of all briefs addressing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss has expired.
- 10. In the instant matter, Defendants contend that oral argument on their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint would significantly aid this Honorable Court in determining whether and to what extent any of the purportedly wrongful 110 Discursive Acts fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 11. Oral argument on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss will permit the parties to highlight, demonstrate and otherwise direct the Court's attention¹ to specific evidence and appropriate precedent that may support or refute a claim's disposition.
- 12. Additionally, granting Defendants' request herein will provide Plaintiff with an additional due process safeguard should this Honorable Court decide to convert the Motion

¹ Stated differently, oral argument will invite the Court to have an open discussion with counsel about the 110 Discursive Acts, permitting the Court to pose questions addressing the parties' respective arguments and aiding its decision to dismiss one, all or none of Plaintiff's state-law tort claims.

to Dismiss into a Motion for Summary Judgment pursuant to Rule 12(d), permitting

Plaintiff a temporal window through which he may argue additional evidence substantiating
his position or otherwise rebutting Defendants' arguments.

- 13. On May 22, 2024, undersigned counsel e-mailed counsel for Plaintiff a copy of the instant Motion seeking concurrence and indicating that it would be assumed that Plaintiff concurred *only to the relief requested* and not to the individual allegations if no objection was received by May 30, 2024; no objection was received as of the date of this filing.
- 14. For all the reasons cited above, Defendants respectfully request this Honorable Court issue an Order scheduling oral argument on their Motion to Dismiss at a date, place and time convenient for the parties, their respective counsel and the Court.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, Eduardo Nicolas Alvear Gonzalez, aka Alvear Gonzalez Eduardo Nicolas, aka Nicolas Alvear and Good Lion TV, LLC, by and through their counsel, Rothenberg & Campbell, respectfully move that this Honorable Court issue an Order GRANTING their Motion and scheduling same for a date, time and place convenient to all parties with any restrictions reasonably contoured in time and manner.

Respectfully Submitted,

ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

By:

Ryan P. Campbell, Esquire (PAID: 317838)

345 Wyoming Ave., Ste. 210

Scranton, PA 18503

p. 570.207.2889 || e. HRLaw04@gmail.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

Date: May 30, 2024

ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

Ryan P. Campbell, Esq. (#317838) Dave W. Rothenberg, Esq. (#326483) 345 Wyoming Avenue, Suite 210 Scranton, Pennsylvania 18503

Phone: 570.207.2889 || Fax: 570.207.3991

E-mail: Hrlaw04@gmail.com | Hrlaw06@gmail.com

Attorneys for the Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PHILIP GODLEWSKI,

Plaintiff,

v.

EDUARDO NICOLAS ALVEAR
GONZALEZ, AKA ALVEAR GONZALEZ
EDUARDO NICOLAS, AKA
NICOLAS ALVEAR; and
GOOD LION TV, LLC,

Defendants

CIVIL ACTION—LAW & EQUITY (HON. JULIA K. MUNLEY)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

No.: 3:24—CV—00344—JKM

CERTIFICATE OF CONCURRENCE IN REQUESTED RELIEF ONLY

I, Ryan P. Campbell, Esquire, on behalf of Defendants, do hereby certify that I sought concurrence in Defendants' Motion to Schedule Oral Argument on Their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint by e-mail on May 22, 2024 to Plaintiff's counsel, Timothy M. Kolman, Esq. and, after being noticed that failure to object would result in Defendants' assumption that Plaintiff concurs only in the relief requested, having received no objection, assume that Plaintiff concurs only in the relief requested.

ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

By:

Ryan P. Campbell, Esquire

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

Date: May 30, 2024

ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

Ryan P. Campbell, Esq. (#317838) Dave W. Rothenberg, Esq. (#326483) 345 Wyoming Avenue, Suite 210 Scranton, Pennsylvania 18503

Phone: 570.207.2889 | Fax: 570.207.3991

E-mail: Hrlaw04@gmail.com | Hrlaw06@gmail.com

Attorneys for the Defendants

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PHILIP GODLEWSKI,

v.

Plaintiff,

EDUARDO NICOLAS ALVEAR

GONZALEZ, AKA ALVEAR GONZALEZ

EDUARDO NICOLAS, AKA NICOLAS ALVEAR; and

GOOD LION TV, LLC,

Defendants

CIVIL ACTION—LAW & EQUITY (HON. JULIA K. MUNLEY)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

No.: 3:24—CV—00344—JKM

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ryan P. Campbell, Esquire, on behalf of Defendants, do hereby certify that I sent a true and accurate copy of Defendants' Motion to Schedule Oral Argument on their Motion to Dismiss in the above-captioned case on this 30th day of May, 2024 to the below named individuals via ECF and Electronic Mail addressed as follows:

Timothy M. Kolman, Esq.

e. tkolman@kolmanlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

ROTHENBERG & CAMPBELL

By:

Ryan P. Campbell, Esquire

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

Date: May 30, 2024

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PHILIP GODLEWSKI, v.	Plaintiff,	CIVIL ACTION—LAW & EQUITY (HON. JULIA K. MUNLEY)
EDUARDO NICOLAS ALVEAR GONZALEZ, AKA ALVEAR GO EDUARDO NICOLAS, AKA		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
NICOLAS ALVEAR; and GOOD LION TV, LLC,	Defendants	No.: 3:24—CV—00344—JKM
	<u>ORDER</u>	
AND NOW, this day of, 2024, upon consideration of Defendants'		
Motion to Schedule Oral Argument	Pursuant to Loc	cal Rule 7.9 and having determined that
oral argument on Defendants' Motio	on to Dismiss wi	ll aid the court in its determination of
same, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that Defendants' Motion is GRANTED.		
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a Scheduling Conference is hereby scheduled for		
the day of	, 2024 at _	o'clock,M before Hon.
Julia K. Munley where counsel for all parties shall be telephonically available, prepared to		
schedule a date, time and location of oral argument, and discuss the manner of oral		
argument, including time allotment for each side. Counsel shall call-in no less than five (5)		
minutes prior to the scheduled conf	erence using the	following information:
CALL-IN NUMBER:		
ACCESS CODE:		
	В	Y THE COURT:
		J.
	H	on. Julia K. Munley, J.